MEASURING AND FORECASTING FINANCIAL STABILITY THE COMPOSITION OF AN AGGREGATE FINANCIAL STABILITY INDEX FOR JAMAICA

VERLIS C. MORRIS¹

ABSTRACT

This paper develops an aggregate financial stability index (AFSI) for Jamaica using banking system data over the period March 1997 to March 2010. The AFSI builds on previous work for Jamaica by aggregating microeconomic, macroeconomic and international factors indicative of banking sector performance into a single measure of financial stability. The index was successful in capturing key periods of financial instability during the sample period and reflected a general improvement in stability. Econometric results affirm the sensitivity of the index to variability in key macroeconomic indicators. Against this background, Monte Carlo simulations were used to provide a one-year ahead forecast of financial stability in an effort to assist policymakers in determining the future state of vulnerability of the banking sector. Furthermore, forecasted values show marked deterioration in the index during the second half of 2010.

JEL Code: C43, C51, C53, G01, G17

Keywords: Financial stability, Financial stability index, Stochastic simulation.

¹ The views expressed are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the position of the Bank of Jamaica.

1.0 Introduction

Over the past decades, financial crises have increased in frequency and intensity and have threatened the robustness and stability of the international financial system. Financial system stability is of particular concern to policymakers as a weak financial system negatively impacts the real economy. In addition, instabilities in the financial markets can feed through to macroeconomic instabilities and lead to further deterioration in financial system soundness.

Against this background, policymakers continue to grapple with the task of developing comprehensive indicators and indices which can be used as surveillance and forecasting tools to minimize the likelihood of banking and financial crises. Langrin (2002) developed an Early Warning System Index for Jamaica, which involved the bi-variate monitoring of a comprehensive set of aggregate macroeconomic and microeconomic indicators in order to determine the future state of vulnerability of the banking sector. The index is currently one of the main surveillance tools used by the Bank in monitoring and assessing the stability of the overall banking system. Additionally, work by Lewis (2006) established an Early-Warning Bank Failure Model (EWM) for Jamaica's banking sector. This model is designed to capture the dynamics that engenders banks' transition from financial soundness to closure by using a transition probability matrix. By extension, the model assesses the likelihood of banks experiencing distress. The framework is utilized in assaying the effects of macroeconomic and bank-specific shocks on the stability of the commercial banking sector in the medium-term.

This paper proposes the construction of an aggregate financial stability index (AFSI) for Jamaica. Besides an early warning system and a stress testing tool, the index represents a single quantitative measure which can be used to capture and forecast the stability of the banking system. The model utilized is based on the methodology employed by Albulescu (2008), which derives a single financial stability index based on the aggregation of constituent indicators or sub-indices covering microeconomic, macroeconomic and international measures of vulnerability.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents an overview of the literature. Section 3 provides details on the methodology employed in constructing the aggregate financial stability index and its constituent sub-indices. Section 4 covers descriptive analysis of the factors influencing the evolution of the index and its constituent parts. The econometric validation of the AFSI and results of the Monte Carlo simulations are discussed in sections 5 and 6, respectively. The policy implications of the results and the conclusion are presented in section 7.

2.0 Literature Review

Some researchers have used synthetic indices to capture multidimensional economic phenomena, like financial stability, which are difficult to quantify. A synthetic index is derived from aggregating different basic indicators. More specifically, financial system stability indices are comprised of indicators that reflect the varied dimensions of financial stability. For instance, Illing and Liu (2003) developed a stress index, called the Financial Stress Index (FSI), for the Canadian financial system. Unlike the bi-variate nature of indicators used in the literature, the index provided a single measure of macroeconomic financial stress which is allowed to vary over a continuum of values, where extreme values reflect crises. The authors obtain information on financial stress from varied financial variables using techniques such as factor analysis, econometric benchmarking and Generalized Auto Regressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity (GARCH) modeling, inter alia.²

² The approach of Illing and Liu (2003) is quite different from earlier work in that they set up a survey in order to select appropriate indicators in the building of the FSI. The survey was conducted on Canadian policy-makers and economists, whose responses dictated which events have been the most stressful for Canadian markets. These indicators spanned the banking sector, foreign exchange market, debt markets and equity markets.

This concept of a synthetic financial stability index has also been endorsed by Fell and Schinasi (2005) who stated, "... financial stability can be seen as being consistent with various combinations of the conditions of its constituent parts ...". With this in mind, Van den End (2006) created a Financial Stability Conditional Index (FSCI) which is an extension of aggregate indices such as the Monetary Conditions Index (MCI) and the Financial Conditions Index (FCI).³ Furthermore, regarding the FSCI, the index's lower bound indicates a stress situation. The upper bound of the index, while defining a favourable situation regarding market price movements, could also signal an accumulation of financial imbalances. Hence, low values or high values of the FSCI reflect malfunctioning in the financial system. Hence, the continuum of values lying between these bounds signals stability conditions in the financial system.

Albulescu (2008) developed an Aggregate Financial Stability Index (AFSI) for Romania over the period 1996 to 2007. The author selected 20 indicators that measured one of the following dimensions of the financial system: development of the banking sector; soundness; vulnerability and the world economic climate.⁴ Sub-indices were also developed based on these dimensions and formed the constituents of the AFSI. In developing the aggregate financial stability index all indicators were normalized so that there values ranged from 0 to 1. A value of 0 was assigned to the worst value over the analyzed period while a value of 1 was assigned to the best value over the same period. This eliminates disparity in units of measurement and enables aggregation. The author also applied equal weightings to each indicator in constructing the index. Additionally, Albulescu (2008) examined the robustness of the index to

³ These indices were developed to gain insight into monetary policy transmissions. The MCI was developed to gain insight into monetary policy transmission by aggregating long-term interest rates and the effective exchange rate and are considered transmission channels. The FCI is an improvement on the MCI as it incorporates house prices and stock prices in the aggregate.

⁴ Due to globalization and free trade, external financial systems may impact the financial system under study. The variables Albulescu (2008) considered are "world growth rate", "world inflation rate" and an index (calculated by CESifo) that measures the world's economic climate.

different drivers of macroeconomic stability and utilized a stochastic simulation model to provide a forecast of the AFSI for the Romanian banking system.⁵

Based on the AFSI for the Romanian banking system, crisis periods were observed by the clear deterioration of the index during those periods. In particular, there was improvement of Romania's financial stability level commencing in 2000, which continued up to the recent subprime crisis period. Furthermore, forecasts show an expected improvement of the index in 2010.

Gersl and Hermanek (2006) demonstrated that the constituent indicators in an AFSI can be used to make international comparisons by ranking the Czech Republic, along with the other Central European countries as well as some Eastern European countries, based on their financial soundness.

3.0 The Aggregate Financial Stability Index

Researchers have utilized various methods to establish weightings for the variables included in the index. Moreover, recent work has shown that some researchers have relied more heavily on econometric analysis to establish weightings on the variables included in the index. Van den End (2006) demonstrated in the composition of his aggregate stability index the discrepancy between equal weighting and weighting by econometric validation was small. This paper uses the method of equal weighting across indicators.

Furthermore, in order to aggregate the variables into a single index each indicator is normalized to allow for comparability across variables. Several methods of normalization are discussed in the literature along with their shortcomings. ⁶ This paper employs the method of empirical normalization. Under this method, the indicators' values will range

⁵ The variables with significant coefficients were "foreign currency credit to GDP ratio", the "GDP growth rate" and the "Bucharest stock exchange index".

⁶ Normalization includes the use of statistical, empirical axiological and mathematical methodologies.

between 0 and 1, where a value of 0 represents the weakest value of an indicator. More specifically, the formula used for the normalization process is:

$${}_{n}I_{ii} = \frac{I_{ii} - \min(I_i)}{\max(I_i) - \min(I_i)}$$
(1)

where ${}_{n}I_{it}$ represents the normalized indicator at time *t* and I_{it} represents the value of the indicator at time *t*. In addition, $\max(I_{ij})$ and $\min(I_{ij})$ represent the respective worst and best values of each indicator.

Indic ators	Impact	Subgroup	
Market Capitalization/GDP	+		
Total Credit/GDP	+	Financial	
Interest Spread	-	Development Index	
Herfindahl – Hirschmann Index (HHI)	+		
Inflation Rate	-		
General Budget Deficit/Surplus (%GDP)	+		
Current Account Deficit/Surplus (%GDP)	+		
REER (change)	-	Financial	
Non Governmental Credit/Total Credit	+	Vulnerability Index	
Loans (%deposits)	-		
Deposits/M2 ("moving ratio")	+		
(Reserves/Deposits) / (Note & Coins/M2)	+		
Non Performing Loans/Total Loans	-		
Capital/Assets	+	Financial	
Z-Score	+	Soundness Index	
Liquidity Ratio	+		
World Economic Growth	+		
World Inflation Rate	-	World Economic Climate Index	
Economic Climate Index	+		

Table 1: AFSI Indicators

Note: The effect of an increase in each indicator on the AFSI is indicated by the corresponding signs shown in the column headed "Impact"

For Jamaica, 19 indicators are chosen for inclusion in the AFSI. These indicators are reflective of different dimensions of financial stability, including financial development, financial vulnerability, financial soundness as well as the world's economic climate. Sub-indices are also created to capture the impact of each of these areas on financial stability (Albulescu, 2008). For banking sector variables, data was collected on the commercial banks, merchant banks and building societies, and classified in terms of sub-indices (see Table 1).⁷

The 'financial development sub-index' gives a measure of the level of financial system development (see Table 1). Market capitalization as a share of GDP captures the development of the capital markets, while the ratio of total credit to GDP provides information on the ability of credit institutions in carrying out their intermediation functions. Increases in the values of these indicators are expected to lead to improvements in this sub-index. In addition, the interest spread variable included in the subindex is defined as the difference between lending rates and borrowing rates. Higher interest spreads are interpreted as greater inefficiency in intermediation and allocation of resources while lower spreads are indicative of improved efficiency levels in the banking system. Thus, higher interest spreads are inversely related to financial development and will have a negative impact on the AFSI. The Herfindahl - Hirschmann Index (HHI) was also included in this sub-index and is representative of the degree of concentration in the banking sector.8 Subsequent to the financial crisis of the 1990's, several mergers took place, resulting in a sharp increase in the HHI. However, evidence has shown that this development has been associated with improvements in efficiency in the sector.9 Against this background, increases in the HHI are interpreted as having a positive impact on stability in Jamaica's case.

Regarding the 'financial vulnerability sub-index', indicators included in this category cover macroeconomic variables as well as the

⁷ Credit union data were excluded due to unavailability over the entire sample period.

⁸ The HHI is the sum of the squares of all banks' percentage share of deposits.

⁹ See Bailey (2007)

funding structure of banking institutions. The vulnerability index gives a measure of how well the financial system can respond to shocks. The inflation rate and fiscal budget deficit as a share of GDP are included as a signal of investor confidence in the economy given the implications this can have for the financial markets and financial stability. In addition, the current account deficit as a share of GDP signals the country's susceptibility to external shocks. Absolute changes in the REER were also included in this sub-index. These changes reflect adjustments in the exchange rates as a corrective measure in the economy and have implications for economic stability. The ratio of non-governmental loans to total credit reflects bank funding of private sector credit and the scope for growth in productive investments. Loans as a percentage of deposits is indicative of whether institutions face difficulties in efficiently performing their intermediation functions. Deterioration in deposits as a share of M2 signals decreased savings and increased consumption and this can fuel inflationary tendencies in the economy which has implications for financial stability. The final indicator of the 'financial vulnerability subindex' included the 'ratio of reserves to deposits' and 'notes & coins to M2', and acts as an early warning indicator. Reserves as a share of deposits reflect the banking sector capacity to respond to severe deposit withdrawal, while notes & coins to M2 measures the liquidity preference of the economy. Thus, a high liquidity preference coupled with low reserves would signal increased vulnerability in the banking system.

The variables retained in the 'financial soundness sub-index' measures the solvency of credit institutions in the financial system. 'Non performing loans to total loans' reflects the loan quality of banks and their level of capitalization is measured by the "capital to assets" ratio. The 'Z-score' is a measure of the probability to the risk of insolvency.¹⁰ The liquidity ratio is a measure of banks' resilience to cash flow shocks (Gersl and Hermanek 2006).

Regarding the 'world economic climate sub-index', indicators that fundamentally impact both local and foreign investors' confidence level in

$$Z = \frac{ROA + C/A}{STD \ DEV(ROA)}$$

¹⁰ Calculated quarterly by the BOJ as:

the financial system are included. Notably, 'world economic growth' and 'world inflation rate' were calculated by calculating the weighted averages of data from the top six countries which participate in trade with Jamaica. The economic climate index was sourced from the Centre for Economic Studies & Institute for Economic Research (CESifo).¹¹

After normalization, indicators were combined into their respective sub-indices (see equations 2 - 5):

financial development index,
$$\overline{D}_{i} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{4} D_{ii}}{4}$$
(2)

financial vulnerability index,
$$\sum_{\overline{V}_r = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} V_r}{8}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} V_r$$
(3)

financial soundness index,
$$\sum_{\overline{S}_{i}=\frac{j+1}{4}}^{4} S_{i}$$
(4)

world economic climate index,
$$\overline{W}_{i} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{3} W_{ii}}{3}$$
 (5)

Finally, the aggregate financial stability index is composed as follows:

$$AFSI_{t} = \frac{4\overline{D}_{t} + 8\overline{V}_{t} + 4\overline{S}_{t} + 3\overline{W}_{t}}{19}$$
(6)

In constructing the AFSI equal weights are applied across the indicators while the sub-indices are unevenly weighted¹². The vulnerability index is the most heavily weighted while the world indicator was given the least weighting, with the development and soundness sub-indices receiving equal weights. The vulnerability index received the most weight because it captures a wider range of risks, in particular macro-economic risks and bank-specific factors. Also, among the other sub-indices it tracked the crisis periods the closest.

¹¹ http://www.cesifo-group.de/portal/page/portal/ifoHome/awinfo/d6zeitreihen/15reihen/_reihenwes

¹² Due to data limitations coupled with reduced number of observations associated with degrees of freedom, econometric estimations of the weights were not employed. Thus the paper resorts to a standard procedure of equal weightings.

4.0 The Evolution of the AFSI and its Constituent Indexes: March 1997 – March 2010

The AFSI is constructed in this paper using quarterly data for the period March 1997 to March 2010. The evolution of the AFSI and its sub-indices closely tracks stability in the Jamaican banking sector over the sample period (see Figure 1). A general sharp deterioration was observed in the AFSI and its sub-indices during the financial crisis period of the late 1990s, with the index averaging below 0.5.¹³ During this period, strong reforms were implemented and adjustments were made in the banking system.¹⁴

Figure 1: Graph of the Aggregate Financial Stability Index and its Constituent Sub-Indices



Mar-97 Mar-98 Mar-99 Mar-00 Mar-01 Mar-02 Mar-03 Mar-04 Mar-05 Mar-06 Mar-07 Mar-08 Mar-09 Mar-10

¹³ At the end of 1997 the AFSI deteriorated to a low of 0.438.

¹⁴ The Financial Sector Adjustment Company (FINSAC) was formed in January 1997 with a mandate to rehabilitate financial institutions. Other measures to restore stability to the financial system involved regulatory and legislative reforms including amendments to the banking, financial institutions and building societies acts. In addition, the 1988 Basel Accord was adopted in Jamaica in 1999 as a "standard of best practice," to complement the existing leverage requirement, for banks to maintain prudent capital positions.

Subsequent to completion of the majority of reforms in 1999, there was consistent improvement in the ASFI, with values ranging between 0.54 and 0.65, consistent with a relatively stable financial sector. During 2003, primarily in the second quarter, a deterioration as low as 0.49 was observed which occurred amidst instability in the foreign currency exchange rate causing the Bank of Jamaica to increase interest rates. There was also a sharp fall-off in the index during the global financial crisis period that culminated in 2008. At this juncture, the AFSI fell to a low of 0.46 in the third quarter of 2008.

Among all the sub-indices, the financial development index (FDI) reflected the strongest fluctuations, increasing sharply over the first half of the review period (March 1997 – March 2010) with values ranging from 0.13 to 0.81. Then, at the end of the financial crisis period in 2000, there was an observed improvement in the FDI, which continued up to 2004. Subsequent to 2004, the FDI remained relatively stable for the rest of the review period.

As anticipated, the financial soundness index (FSI) recorded its lowest levels during the financial crisis period of the 1990s. Recovery began in the final quarter of 1999 and an upswing was observed which peaked at the beginning of 2002. The sub-index remained relatively stable after 2002 and showed only slight deterioration during the 2008 global crisis period. However, the world economic climate index (WECI) recorded substantial fallout over this global crisis period which significantly influenced the value of the AFSI. In fact the WECI, coupled with deterioration in the financial vulnerability index (FVI), were the main contributors to the decline in the AFSI in 2008.

5.0 AFSI Econometric Validation

The evolution of the AFSI was successful in identifying the impact of periods of financial uncertainty on the performance of the Jamaican banking system. Nonetheless, an empirical assessment was also conducted, using ordinary least squares, to examine the performance of the index in response to changes in some key macroeconomic variables.¹⁵ Against this background, the AFSI was regressed against volatility in the REER, volatility in the JSE index, growth in remittances, growth in the money supply (M2), exchange rate changes (JS/US\$ rate), changes in the 6-month GOJ Treasury bill yield, GDP growth rate, a dummy variable capturing periods of financial distress as well as lagged values of the index.

All variables used in the regression were subjected to stationary tests. The results of the Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF), the Phillips-Perron (PP) and the Kwiatkowski-Phillips-Schmidt-Shin (KPSS) tests indicate that all variables had unit roots except the AFSI, volatility in REER and growth in M2 (see Table 2). The series with unit roots were stationary in first differences.

The coefficients for the AFSI lagged one and two quarters, changes in the Treasury bill yield, growth in M2, as well as its lagged value, were statistically significant (see table 3). The equation employed for the econometric evaluation is given as:

$$afsi = c + \alpha * afsi_{t-1} + \beta * afsi_{t-2} + \delta * \Delta tbill + \gamma * m2 + \eta * m2_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$$
⁽⁷⁾

where *afsi* is the aggregate stability index, *tbill* is the yield on 6-month Treasury bill and *m2* is the growth in money supply (M2).

The regression results show that the values of the AFSI in previous quarters will impact the present value of the index (see Table 3).¹⁶ However, the index is mean reverting so it will not exhibit explosive properties (see Figure 1). ¹⁷ In addition, there is a negative relationship between the 6-month Treasury bill yield and the AFSI, indicating that

¹⁵ These variables were chosen because they are strongly impacted during periods of crisis. Due to data limitations, variables such as interbank interest rates and foreign currency to GDP had to be omitted from the regression analysis. Albulescu (2008) included interbank interest rate as a measure of vulnerability and foreign currency to GDP due to its role of amplifying a crisis situation if its growth rate is higher than the growth rate of the economy.

¹⁶ A stable (deteriorated) index in the past will result in future stability (instability).

¹⁷ Further the coefficients are less than unity.

	ADF		PP		KPSS	
Variables	Level	Difference	Level	Difference	Level	Difference
Null Hypothesis	Unit	Root	Unit R	oot	Statio	narity
AFSI	-3.8845***	-	-4.8406***	-	0.0683	-
Exchange Rate	0.9031	-5.0838***	0.8522	-4.1176***	0.9675***	0.2845
T-Bill Yield ISE Index	-2.5588	-7.3450***	-2.5588	-7.3477***	0.4161*	0.0617
(volatility)	-2.0493	-6.2391***	-2.0759	-6.7456***	0.5391**	0.1092
REER (volatility) Remittance	-4.9961***	-	-6.6592***	-	0.2764	-
Inflow	-0.6854	-3.5725**	-0.8519	14.9694***	0.9506***	0.1353
M2 (growth rate)	-4.2783***	-	-22.8253***	-	0.4973**	0.2062
GDP	4.7793***	-0.7462	13.1836***	-5.1309	0.9605***	1.0384***

Table 2: Unit Root Tests and Stationarity Tests

Notes: *, **, *** indicates the rejection of the null hypothesis at 10, 5 and 1 per cent level of significance respectively (t-statistics).

increases in interest rates can stimulate deterioration in aggregate stability such as by influencing an increase in the default rate on loans (Bordo et al. 2000). The signs on the M2 variables are somewhat contradictory; the one period lag has a positive effect on the index while the contemporaneous effect is negative. An explanation of this outcome is provided in work done by Lucas (1972, 1973) and Bordo et al. (2000). They posit that current period growth in the money supply is partly unobservable. However, unexpected growth in money supply can lead to inflation 'surprises' which can stimulate deterioration in stability. Furthermore, when growth in the money supply of a previous quarter is realized investors are better able to make sound financial judgment which can foster improvements in stability conditions.

Variables	Coefficients	Standard Error
С	0.146383**	0.061479
AFSI(-1)	0.452740***	0.12928
AFSI(-2)	0.293252**	0.12869
D(TBILLS)	-0.004621***	0.001495
M2	-0.385146**	0.152752
M2(-1)	0.272220*	0.158338
Dependent Variable	AFSI	
Method	Least Squares	
No. of Observations	51	
Adjusted R-Squared	0.553893	
Durbin-Watson Statistics		
	2.060697	

Table 3: Econometric Results

Notes: *, **, *** indicates the rejection of the null hypothesis at 10, 5 and 1 per cent level of significance respectively (t-statistics).

Applying the ADF, PP and KPSS to the residuals from the estimated equation we see that this series has no unit root. The results from diagnostic tests show that the error term is serially uncorrelated and both the Breusch-Pagan-Godfrey test and White test confirm that the error terms are also homoskedastic (see Table 4). The adjusted R-Squared is 0.55, which suggests that the model is a good fit of the actual data (see Figure 2).

Table 4: Serial Correlation Test and Heteroskedasticity Test	sts
--	-----

Breusch-Godfrey Serial Correlation LM Test				
	Statistic Value	P-Value		
F-Statistic	0.40	0.68		
Obs*R-squared	0.92	0.63		
Heteroskedasticity Test	: Breusch-Pagan-Godfrey			
	Statistic Value	P-Value		
F-Statistic	0.53	0.75		
Obs*R-squared	2.86	0.72		
Heteroskedasticity Test	: White			
	Statistic Value	P-Value		
F-Statistic	1.01	0.48		
Obs*R-squared	20.52	0.94		
No. of Observations: 51				

Notes: *, **, *** indicates the rejection of the null hypothesis at 10, 5 and 1 per cent level of significance respectively (t-statistics).



Figure 2: Actual AFSI and Estimated AFSI

6.0 A Monte Carlo Simulation and AFSI Forecast

The historical values of the AFSI and the exogenous variables reflected in Table 3 were utilized in producing a forecast of the index. Both the Treasury bill yield series and the M2 growth rate series were fitted with a distribution function based on their historical values. Using the fitted distributions as well as correlation between the exogenous variables in the estimation and Monte Carlo simulation (10 000 iterations), a four-quarter ahead forecast of the AFSI was generated along with a fan chart for the forecasted values of the AFSI (see Figure 3).

The AFSI increased steadily up to the first quarter of 2010, following the sharp deterioration in the index during the global crisis period. However, regarding forecast results, the deterioration evident in the index during the second half of the year is largely reflective of the impact of anticipated seasonal increases in M2 during this period.

Figure 3: A Forecast of the AFSI for Jamaica's Economy



1997Q1 / AFSI to 2011Q1 / AFSI

7.0 Conclusion

The aggregate financial stability index developed in this study can be used to complement and enhance the existing financial stability framework that is monitored by the Bank of Jamaica. The index represents a single comprehensive measure of stability and includes indicators capturing banking sector development, vulnerability, soundness and the international economic environment. The evolution of the index shows a close reflection of banking sector performance over the sample period. Econometric results also confirm the sensitivity of the index to variability in key macroeconomic indicators.

The index can also be used as an early warning tool for policymakers as various dynamic simulation techniques can be used to predict stability in the banking sector, where a low level in the AFSI is indicative of deterioration in the stability of the financial system. Forecast results from the study predict deterioration in the index in the short-term. This is influenced by seasonal increases in M2 during the second half of 2010 and reflects the potential consequences of inflationary impulses on financial stability.

REFERENCES

- Albulescu, Claudiu Tiberiu. 2008. "Assessing Romanian Financial Sector Stability by Means of an Aggregate Index." *Oeconomica*, Vol. 17, No. 2, 67-87.
- Bailey, Sherene A. 2007. "Investigating the Market-Structure Performance Relationship in the Commercial Banking Sector." *Money Affairs*, Vol. 22, No. 1.
- Bordo Michael D, Michael J. Dueker and David C. Wheelock. 2000. "Aggregate Price Shocks and Financial Instability: An Historical Analysis." Working Paper Series 7652, National Bureau of Economics Research
- Fell, J. and G. Schinasi. 2005. "Assessing Financial Stability: Exploring the Boundaries of Analysis." National Institute Economic Review, Vol. 192, 102-117.
- Gersl, Adam, and Jaroslav Hermanek. 2006. "Financial Stability Indicators: Advantages and Disadvantages of their Use in the Assessment of Financial System Stability." Financial Stability Report, Czech National Bank.
- Illing, Mark, and Ying Liu. 2003. "An Index of Financial Stress for Canada." Working Paper 14, National Bank of Canada.
- Langrin, Brian. 2002. "An Early Warning System for the Prevention of Banking Sector Crises in Jamaica." Bank of Jamaica Working Paper.
- Lewis, Jide. 2006. "An Early Warning Model of Bank Failure in Jamaica: An Information Theoretic Approach". Bank of Jamaica Working Paper.
- Lucas Jr., Robert E. 1972. "Expectations and the Neutrality of Money." Journal of Economic Theory, 103-124.
- Lucas Jr., Robert E. 1973. "Some International Evidence on Output-Inflation Tradeoffs." *American Economic Review*, 326-324.
- Van den End, Jan Willem. 2006. "Indicator and Boundaries of Financial Stability." Working Paper 97, De Nederlandsche Bank.